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#### Abstract

We study the transport of impurity particles on a comb structure in the presence of advection. The main body concentration and asymptotic concentration distributions are obtained. Seven different transport regimes occur on the comb structure with finite teeth: classical diffusion, advection, quasidiffusion, subdiffusion, slow classical diffusion, and two kinds of slow advection. Quasidiffusion deserves special attention. It is characterized by a linear growth of the mean-square displacement. However, quasidiffusion is an anomalous transport regime. We established that a change in transport regimes in time leads to a change in regimes in space. Concentration tails have a cascade structure, namely, consisting of several parts.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Anomalous transport in highly heterogeneous media is a subject of extensive studies for decades [1]. Due to the complexity and variety of real heterogeneous media, general theory of the transport is not yet available for them. For this reason, studying anomalous transport by simple physical models is a matter of exceptional importance. A comb structure is one of such models. This model has much in common with a percolation cluster. The backbone and teeth of the comb structure are similar, respectively, to the backbone and dead (dangling) ends of the percolation cluster. The simplest version of the comb structure based on the classical diffusion equation was analyzed in [2]. A random walk on the comb and comblike structure was studied in Refs. [3,4]. Subdiffusion with a power $\gamma=1 / 4$ was obtained in [2]. Another transport regime was found and termed as quasidiffusion in Ref. [5]. This regime is a result of the particles' departure into the teeth of the structure and advection. Classical diffusion as a physical transport mechanism for the backbone of the comb structure was supplemented by longitudinal advection in Refs. [6,7]. However, the authors could not have obtained some interesting results as the backbone and the teeth had an infinite thickness and length in these works. A finite thickness of the comb structure and finite length of the teeth cause additional transport regimes and "power trains." So, the results obtained in Refs. [2-7] do not exhaust all problem aspects of the impurity transport on the comb structure. The pattern of transport regimes is still an open question in the case of finite-length teeth, as well as the fine structure of the asymptotic concentration distribution at all time intervals.

The purpose of this paper is a detailed analysis of the impurity transport on the comb structure. In particular, we obtained transport regimes in addition to those known previously and found that the asymptotic concentration distribution often differs from Gaussian form. Also, we established that a transition from one time interval to another may be accompanied by a change in the transport regime in media with a contrast distribution of characteristics. Concentration
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tails have a cascade structure (see also Refs. [8-10]).
The structure of the paper is as follows. Section II presents the problem and basic relations. Section III focuses on obtaining results such as time evolution of the impurities' concentration in the backbone. The total number of impurities in the backbone is found in Sec. IV. Main conclusions of the paper are summarized in Sec. V.

## II. PROBLEM FORMULATION AND BASIC RELATIONS

The comb structure is illustrated in Fig. 1. It consists of a backbone and a periodic system of teeth. The backbone is a straight cylinder of an infinite length along the $x$ axis. The cross-sectional area of the backbone is equal to $S$. Each tooth is also a straight cylinder with a length $h$. The boundary between each tooth and the backbone is plane with an area $S_{t} . L$ is the period of the comb structure.

The transport of impurity particles in the backbone results from advection with a velocity $u$ directed along the $x$ axis and isotropic diffusion with coefficient $D$. The transport occurs by diffusion with coefficient $d$ in each tooth. The concentration of impurity particles is denoted by $n$ in the backbone and $c$ in a tooth. The impurity particles residing in the backbone are called active. A boundary condition on the outer surface of the comb structure is a normal component of zero impurity flux. A condition on the boundary between the backbone and teeth consists in continuity of the concentration and normal component of the flux density. It is assumed that the concentration distribution at the initial moment $t=0$ is specified and located in the region with a size $\sigma_{0}$ within the backbone.


FIG. 1. Comb structure.

We will be interested in the concentration distribution at times

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \gg \frac{\max \left\{S, L^{2}\right\}}{4 D} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, there is almost a homogeneous concentration of active particles $n(x, t)$ in the backbone. In turn, the concentration inside each tooth depends on its longitudinal coordinate $y$, time $t$, and coordinate $x$ as a parameter.

Averaging three-dimensional advection-diffusion equation over cross-sectional area and period of the comb structure, we obtain the equation for the concentration of active particles,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial n(x, t)}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial n(x, t)}{\partial x}=D \frac{\partial^{2} n(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}-q(x, t), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q(x, t)$ is the magnitude of the impurity flux from the backbone into the teeth per unit of length along the $x$ axis,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=-\left.\frac{S_{t}}{L S} d \frac{\partial c}{\partial y}\right|_{y=+0} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations for the concentration in the tooth and the corresponding boundary conditions are

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial c(x, y ; t)}{\partial t}=d \frac{\partial^{2} c(x, y ; t)}{\partial y^{2}}  \tag{4}\\
\left.\frac{\partial c}{\partial y}\right|_{y=h}=0, \quad c(0, t ; x)=n(x, t) \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now turn to the Fourier-Laplace space ( $k, p$ ) in Eqs. (2)-(5). Then the solution of Eq. (4) with conditions (5) leads to the following expression for the impurity flux:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{k p}=n_{k p} \sqrt{p / t_{1}} \tanh \left(\sqrt{p t_{2}}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}=\frac{1}{d}\left(\frac{L S}{S_{t}}\right)^{2}, \quad t_{2}=\frac{h^{2}}{d} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We further assume that $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ satisfy the inequality $t_{1} \ll t_{2} . t_{1}$ is the time when the number of particles in the teeth is compared with that in the backbone, while $t_{2}$ is the characteristic time of diffusion at distances on the order of $h$ into the teeth.

Using Eqs. (2) and (6), we find the concentration of active particles in the space $(k, p)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{k p}=\frac{n_{k p}^{(0)}}{p+\sqrt{p / t_{1}} \tanh \left(\sqrt{p t_{2}}\right)+i u k+D k^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{k p}^{(0)}$ is a Fourier-Laplace transform of the initial concentration distribution averaged on the cross-sectional area of the backbone, $\bar{n}^{(0)}(x) \equiv \bar{n}(x, 0)$.

Performing the inverse Fourier-Laplace transformation in Eq. (8) and integrating with respect to $k$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(x, t)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d x^{\prime} G\left(x-x^{\prime}, t\right) n^{(0)}(x) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where Green's function $G(x, t)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
G(x, t)= & \frac{1}{u} \exp \left(\frac{u x}{D} \theta(-x)\right) \int_{b-i \infty}^{b+i \infty} \frac{d p}{2 \pi i} \\
& \times \frac{\exp \{-\Phi(p ;|x|, t)\}}{\Lambda(p)}, \quad \operatorname{Re} b>0, \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi(p ; x, t)=\frac{u x}{2 D}[\Lambda(p)-1]-p t  \tag{11}\\
\Lambda(p)=\sqrt{1+t_{u}\left[p+\sqrt{p / t_{1}} \tanh \left(\sqrt{p t_{2}}\right)\right]} \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

and $t_{u}=4 D / u^{2}$. Evidently, $t_{u}$ is the time where a displacement due to advection becomes comparable with a diffusion length.

The transport regime is determined by two important quantities: the average of the displacement $\langle x\rangle$ related to advection and the impurity variance of the displacement $\sigma(t)$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\langle x\rangle=\frac{1}{N(t)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d x x n(x, t) \\
{[\sigma(t)]^{2}=\frac{1}{N(t)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d x(x-\langle x\rangle)^{2} n(x, t)} \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $N(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d x n(x, t)$ is the total number of active particles at time $t$.

Note that the concentration "tails" correspond to this condition: $|x-\langle x\rangle| \gg \sigma(t)$. Further assume that $\sigma(t) \gg \sigma_{0}$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(x, t) \cong \frac{N_{0}}{S} G(x, t) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the initial total number of the active particles is denoted by $N_{0}=S \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d x \bar{n}^{(0)}(x)$ and the reference point of coordinate $x$ is chosen in the area of the initial concentration distribution. Using Eq. (9), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(t)=N_{0} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d x G(x, t) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hereafter, we consider $G(x, t)$ at positive $x$. In order to find the expression for Green's function at negative $x$, one can use Eq. (10).

## III. TRANSPORT REGIMES AND ASYMPTOTIC CONCENTRATION DISTRIBUTION

The Green's-function behavior and asymptotic concentration structure depend on a relation between characteristic times $t_{u}, t_{1}$, and $t_{2}$. Let us analyze the problem separately for each of these relations and characteristic time intervals.

We stress that the main body concentration is determined by $p t \sim 1$ in Eq. (10). In turn, the concentration tails correspond to $p t>1$.
(1) $t_{u} \ll t_{1}$
(1.1) $t<t_{u}$ : This case is obtained as a limit $u \rightarrow 0, t_{1} \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore Green's function is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, t)=(4 \pi D t)^{-1 / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{4 D t}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a well-known classical diffusion expression.
(1.2) $t_{u} \ll t<t_{h}$ : We have $t_{2}^{-1} \ll p \ll t_{u}^{-1}$ for the main body concentration. Therefore, we make use of the following expressions for $\Lambda(p)$ and $\Phi(p ; x, t)$ of Eqs. (11) and (12):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Lambda(p) \cong 1+\frac{t_{u}}{2}\left(p+\sqrt{\frac{p}{t_{1}}}-\frac{1}{4} t_{u} p^{2}\right),  \tag{17}\\
& \Phi(p ; x, t)=\frac{x}{u}\left(\sqrt{\frac{p}{t_{1}}}-\frac{1}{4} t_{u} p^{2}\right)-p t^{\prime}, \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

with $t^{\prime}=t-x / u$ (recall that $x>0$ ).
We show below that the $G$-function behavior essentially depends on whether a current time is more or less than the characteristic time $t_{3}=\left(t_{u} t_{1}^{2}\right)^{1 / 3}$. Formally, this is determined by which of the two terms in parentheses of Eq. (18) is a dominant under integration in Eq. (10). Let us analyze the cases $t_{u} \ll t<t_{3}$ and $t_{3} \ll t \ll t_{2}$ separately.
(1.2a) $t_{u} \ll t \ll t_{3}$ : First we consider the main body concentration as a dependence on the spatial variable $x$. We suppose that significant values of $p$ in Eq. (10) are determined by the term in the exponent from Eq. (18) at $x \simeq u t$. Then we have $p \sim\left(t_{u} t\right)^{-1 / 2}$. At these values of $p$, the term in Eq. (18) proportional to $\sim \sqrt{p}$ is estimated as $x / u \sqrt{p / t_{1}} \sim\left(x / u t_{3}\right)^{3 / 4}$. Further calculations reveal that a spatial variable $x$ satisfies the inequality $x<u t$ in the main body concentration. Thus we obtain the strong inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x}{u} \sqrt{\frac{p}{t_{1}}}<\left(\frac{t}{t_{3}}\right)^{3 / 4} \ll 1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

It confirms the assumption made above relative to predominance of term $\sim p^{2}$, hence allowing us to neglect the term $\sim \sqrt{p}$ in Eq. (18) while calculating the integral in Eq. (10). As a result, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, t) \cong(4 \pi D t)^{-1 / 2} \exp \left\{-\frac{(x-u t)^{2}}{4 D t}\right\} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression corresponds to the classical advection. Here the average of the displacement and the impurity variance of the displacement are $\langle x\rangle=u t$ and $\sigma=\sqrt{2 D t}$ and so $\sigma \ll\langle x\rangle$.

Equation (20) is valid at the distances not too far from the peak of $G$ function. To evaluate Green's function at the large distances, we take advantage of saddle-point technique while integrating in Eq. (10) with respect to $p$. The saddle point is given by equation $\left(\partial / \partial p_{0}\right) \Phi\left(p_{0} ; x, t\right)=0$ and takes the value $p_{0}=-t^{\prime} / 2 t_{u} t$. Note that $p_{0}$ has a real value and a sign, opposite to the sign of $t^{\prime}$. Expression (20) remains valid in the right wing of $G$ function (i.e., where $x>u t$ ), because the original contour of integration encounters no singularities in Eq. (10) while shifting toward the saddle point. Another situation occurs in the left wing (where $t^{\prime}>0$.). Here the saddle point is negative. Therefore shifting the integration contour


FIG. 2. Qualitative behavior of Green's function at times $t_{u} \ll t$ $\ll t_{3}$.
to saddle point, we meet the branch point $p=0$ of the integrand in Eq. (10). It results from terms $\sim \sqrt{p}$ in $\Phi(p ; x, t)$ and $\Lambda(p)$. Hence we should take into account a contribution $\delta_{b} G$ from the integration along the banks of the cut from the branch point. To find above contribution, we can neglect the term $\sim p^{2}$ in $\Phi(p ; x, t)$. Then we substitute Eqs. (17) and (18) into Eq. (10) and expand to the first order. Finally, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{b} G(x, t) \cong \frac{u t_{u}+2 x}{4 u^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t_{1} t^{\prime 3}}} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

This contribution is due to the unusual behavior of the concentration distribution at times $t_{u} \ll t<t_{3}$. Possessing a power decrease $\propto(x-u t)^{-3 / 2}$, the contribution $\delta_{b} G$ has advantage over exponentially decreasing expression (20) at the relatively far distances from the peak. By comparison of Eqs. (20) and (21), we conclude that power contribution (21) dominates at the condition $t^{\prime}>\sqrt{t_{u} t \ln \left(t_{3} / t\right)}$.

At times $t_{u} \ll t \ll t_{3}$ we have a regime similar to the classical advection with nearly symmetrical shape of the concentration distribution, slightly "spoiled" by the presence of a power train [see Eq. (21)]. That behavior of Green's function is illustrated in Fig. 2.
(1.2b) $t_{3} \ll t \ll t_{2}$ : In accordance with estimate (19), terms $\sim p^{2}$ and $\sqrt{p}$ in Eq. (18) exchange roles under a transition from the interval $t_{u} \ll t \ll t_{3}$ to the interval $t_{3} \ll t<t_{1}$. Therefore, we get the following approximation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(p ; x^{\prime} t^{\prime}\right) \cong \frac{x}{u} \sqrt{\frac{p}{t_{1}}}-p t^{\prime} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

A substitution of Eq. (22) into Eq. (10) gives the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(x, t^{\prime}\right)=\frac{x+u t_{u} / 2}{u t^{\prime}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi D_{u} t^{\prime}}} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{4 D_{u} t^{\prime}}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $D_{u}=u^{2} t_{1}$.
To find asymptotic concentration profiles we take advantage of saddle-point technique. There are two saddle points when $t^{\prime}>0$. Clearly, it is worth it to take into account such a saddle point that leads to a smaller exponent value. It follows that such saddle point is $p_{0}=x^{2} / 4 D_{u} t^{\prime 2}$ at times $t^{\prime}$ $\gg t\left(t_{u} / 2 t_{1}\right)^{1 / 3}$. This contribution is reduced to expression (23).

Since only one saddle point $p_{0} \cong\left(t_{u}^{2} t_{1}\right)^{-1 / 3}$ remains in the region $\left|t^{\prime}\right| \ll t\left(t_{u} / 2 t_{1}\right)^{1 / 3}$, we have $G$ function for this value of $p_{0}$,


FIG. 3. Qualitative behavior of Green's function at times $t_{3} \ll t$ $\ll t_{1}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, t) \propto \exp \left(-\frac{3 t}{4 t_{3}}\right) . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also one saddle point $p_{0}=-t^{\prime} / 2 t_{u} t$ takes place in the case $t^{\prime}<0$ and $\left|t^{\prime}\right| \gg t\left(t_{u} / 2 t_{1}\right)^{1 / 3}$. Hence this contribution is determined by Eq. (20).

Expression (23) applies to the whole time interval $t_{3} \ll t$ $\ll t_{2}$. However there is fundamental difference between cases $t_{3} \ll t \ll t_{1}$ and $t_{1} \ll t \ll t_{2}$.

At times $t_{3} \ll t<t_{1}$ the average of the displacement is $\langle x\rangle=u t$, and the impurity variance of the displacement (width of the peak) is $\sigma \sim u t^{2} / t_{1}$. Obviously, in that case $\langle x\rangle \geqslant \sigma$. Consequently one can replace the numerator in exponent of Eq. (23) with $(u t)^{2}$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(x, t^{\prime}\right)=\frac{x+\frac{u t_{u}}{2}}{u t^{\prime}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi D_{u} t^{\prime}}} \exp \left(-\frac{t^{2}}{4 t_{1} t^{\prime}}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In that way, we have advection with sharply asymmetric spatial concentration distribution at $t_{3} \ll t<t_{1}$. This is illustrated in Fig. 3. Namely, the left wing of the concentration distribution is characterized by a power law and the right wing corresponds to a rapid exponential decay of Eq. (25) followed by Gaussian decrease.

The presence of power trains is unexpected in the cases where $t<t_{1}$, because prima facie teeth cannot yet become significant at these times.

However, such unusual behavior of the concentration distribution has a physical meaning and qualitative explanation. The peak of distribution had reached the tooth and some of active particles had been going into the tooth. Then the peak of the distribution moved behind the tooth and particles came back to the backbone. Thus the presence of power trains is due to departure of active particles into the teeth and subsequent comeback into the backbone. Note also that power trains did not arise (to our knowledge) in the works, where comb structure was studied.

At times $t_{1} \ll t \ll t_{2}$ the average of the displacement and the impurity variance of the displacement have the same order, $\langle x\rangle \sim \sigma \sim \sqrt{D_{u} t}$ and $\sqrt{D_{u}} t \ll u t$. Hence one can replace $t^{\prime}$ with $t$ applying the main body concentration and the first stage of the tail in Eq. (23). Finally, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, t)=\frac{x+\frac{u t_{u}}{2}}{u t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi D_{u} t}} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{4 D_{u} t}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar transport regime was found in [5] and termed as quasidiffusion. The second and third stages of the tail coincide with the first and the second stages in case 1.2 b . Notice that $\sigma \sim t^{1 / 2}$ takes place in quasidiffusion similar to classical diffusion but the total number of active particles is not retained. So quasidiffusion is an anomalous transport regime.
(1.3) $t \gg t_{2}$ : At these times the most significant values of Laplace variable are $p<t_{2}^{-1}$ for the main body concentration and the first stage of tail. Thus we have approximation $\tanh \left(\sqrt{p t_{2}}\right) \cong \sqrt{p t_{2}}-\frac{1}{3}\left(\sqrt{p t_{2}}\right)^{3}$. Using Eqs. (11) and (12), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(p ; x, t) \cong-\frac{x \widetilde{D}_{u}}{\widetilde{u}^{3}} p^{2}-p \widetilde{t}^{\prime}, \quad p t_{2} \ll 1 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we denote $\widetilde{u}=u \sqrt{t_{1} / t_{2}}, \widetilde{D}_{u} \cong(1 / 3) D_{u}$, and $\widetilde{t}^{\prime}=t-x / \widetilde{u}$.
Substituting Eq. (27) into Eq. (10), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, t) \cong \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi \tilde{D}_{u} t}} \sqrt{\frac{t_{1}}{t_{2}}} \exp \left\{-\frac{(x-\tilde{u} t)^{2}}{4 \widetilde{D}_{u} t}\right\} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is classical advection with modified advection velocity and modified diffusion coefficient. So we called it slow advection. The average of the displacement $\langle x\rangle=\tilde{u} t$ and the impurity variance of the displacement $\sigma \simeq \sqrt{2 \widetilde{D} t}$. Hence, $\sigma$ $\ll\langle x\rangle$.

Along with the main body concentration, Eq. (29) describes also the active particles' distribution at the first stage of the tail until the saddle point satisfies the inequality $p_{0} t_{2}$ $<1$. The second stage of the tail is determined by $p_{0} \gtrsim t_{2}^{-1}$ and given by Eq. (26). It corresponds to quasidiffusion. An approximate border between tail stages meets the distance $x-\widetilde{u} t \sim \widetilde{u} t$ and $G$ function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, t) \propto \exp \left(-t / t_{2}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The third stage begins from distances $\left|t^{\prime}\right| \sim t\left(t_{u} / 2 t_{1}\right)^{1 / 3}$ and has a form (20), where $\left|t^{\prime}\right|>t\left(t_{u} / 2 t_{1}\right)^{1 / 3}$
(2) $t_{1} \ll t_{u}^{2} / t_{1} \ll t_{2}$ : While calculating Green's function at times smaller then $t_{u}^{2} / t_{1}$, one can use an approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda(p) \cong \frac{2}{u} \sqrt{D\left(p+\sqrt{\frac{p}{t_{1}}}\right)}, \quad t \ll t_{u} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2.1) $t \ll t_{1}$ : This case is entirely similar to case 1.1.
(2.2) $t_{1} \ll t<t_{u}^{2} / t_{1}$ : The term $\sim p$ should be neglected under the root. Combining Eqs. (30) and (10), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
G(x, t) \cong \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{t_{1}}{D^{2} t^{3}}\right)^{1 / 4} F(\xi), \quad \xi=\frac{x}{\sqrt{D \sqrt{t_{1} t}}} \\
F(\xi)=\int_{a-i \infty}^{a+i \infty} \frac{d s}{2 \pi i} s^{-1 / 4} \exp \left(\xi s^{1 / 4}-s\right), \quad s=p t, \quad \operatorname{Re} a>0 \tag{31}
\end{gather*}
$$

This expression corresponding to subdiffusion was found early in Refs. [2,6,7,10,11]. The impurity variance of the displacement has an estimation $\sigma \sim \sqrt{D \sqrt{t_{1} t}}$. Using Eq. (31), we get the first stage of asymptotic Green's function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, t) \cong \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{6}}\left(\frac{t_{1}}{D^{2} t^{3}}\right)^{1 / 4}\left(\frac{\xi}{4}\right)^{1 / 3} \exp \left\{-3\left(\frac{\xi}{4}\right)^{4 / 3}\right\} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

It was also found in [2]. The second stage of the tail ( $p_{0} t_{1}>1$ ) corresponds to classical diffusion expression (16). $G(x, t) \sim(4 \pi D t)^{-1 / 2} \exp \left(-t / t_{1}\right)$ at sample boundary between the second and the first stages of the tail [where $\xi$ $\left.\sim 4\left(t / 3 t_{1}\right)^{3 / 4}\right]$.
(2.3) $t_{u}^{2} / t_{1} \ll t \ll t_{2}$ : In this time interval quasidiffusion expression (26) holds for the main body concentration and the first stage of the tail. The second stage of the tail corresponds to Eq. (32) and the third stage is described by classical diffusion [see Eq. (16)].
(2.4) $t \gg t_{2}$ : Here the deduction formally coincides with case 1.3 for the main body concentration and first stage of the tail, leading to the expression for the slow advection [see Eq. (28)]. At these times the tail consists of four stages. The second, third, and fourth stages are determined by Eqs. (23), (20), and (16), respectively.

A detailed analysis showed that the tails have a cascade structure and the following regularity takes place: with increasing distances such a transport regime occurs what was realized in the main body of concentration at an earlier time interval. Earlier these properties of the tails were established in Refs. [8-10]. In the next case a consideration of tails is omitted, because the above-mentioned regularity also is valid.
(3) $t_{u}^{2} / t_{1} \gg t_{2}$
(3.1) $t \ll t_{1}$ : This case corresponds to case 1.1.
(3.2) $t_{1} \ll t<t_{2}$ : This case is entirely similar to case 2.2.
(3.3) $t_{2} \ll t<t_{u} \sqrt{t_{2} / t_{1}}$ : In this case, one can use approximation $\tanh \left(\sqrt{p t_{2}}\right) \cong \sqrt{p t_{2}}, u \rightarrow 0$ to the main body concentration and first stage of tail. It now follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, t) \cong \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi \widetilde{D} t}} \sqrt{\frac{t_{1}}{t_{2}}} \exp \left\{-\frac{x^{2}}{4 \widetilde{D} t}\right\} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{D}=\sqrt{t_{1} / t_{2}} D$. This expression corresponds to the slow classical diffusion.
(3.4) $t \gg t_{u} \sqrt{t_{2} / t_{1}}$ : This case formally is similar to case 1.3. So, the Green's function takes a form (28) for the main body concentration and the first stage of the tail. But the effective diffusion coefficient is replaced by $\widetilde{D}=\sqrt{t_{1} / t_{2}} D$.

## IV. TOTAL NUMBER OF ACTIVE PARTICLES

In order to find the total number of active particles $N(t)$, we take advantage of obvious relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(t)=\left.\int_{b-i \infty}^{b+i \infty} \frac{d p}{2 \pi i} n_{k p}\right|_{k=0}, \quad N(0) \equiv N_{0}=\left.n_{k}^{(0)}\right|_{k=0} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{k p}$ is defined by Eq. (8).
$N(t)$ is given by simple expressions in three cases,

$$
\begin{gather*}
N(t) \cong N_{0}, \quad t \ll t_{1}, \\
N(t) \cong N_{0} \sqrt{\frac{t_{1}}{\pi t}}, \quad t_{1} \ll t \ll t_{2}, \\
N(t) \cong N_{0} \sqrt{\frac{t_{1}}{t_{2}}}, \quad t \gtrdot t_{2} \tag{35}
\end{gather*}
$$

This means that at times $t \ll t_{1}$ the relative number of particles into the teeth has been very small yet. Therefore, the total number of active particles almost coincides with its initial value $N_{0}$. In the case $t \gg t_{1}$, most of the impurity particles are located in the teeth and the ratio $N(t) / N_{0}$ is inversely proportional to the volume of the teeth occupied by impurity particles. At times $t_{1} \ll t \ll t_{2}$ particles go into the teeth very intensively and $N(t) \sim t^{-1 / 2}$. A similar notation was made in Ref. [11]. The relation $N(t) \sim t^{-1 / 2}$ also was found in Refs. [2,4,8,11,12]. Finally at $t \gg t_{2}$ the teeth are saturated with the impurity particles, and again become stationary but $N(t) \ll N_{0}$. We see that the teeth of the comb structure act as traps. A similar effect occurs in the percolation media [13].

## V. CONCLUSION

In the present work we have studied in detail the transport of impurity particles on a comb structure in the presence of advection and diffusion in the backbone. All obtained results are easily generalized to a random comb structure. Also, our results are valid for a random statistically homogeneous comb structure.

We have obtained a main body concentration and a concentration distribution at the large distances (concentration tails). Seven different transport regimes are realized. Each regime is determined by the relation between characteristic times and a considered time interval. Thus the following transport regimes occur: classical diffusion, subdiffusion, slow classical diffusion, quasidiffusion, classical advection, and two kinds of slow advection. The first three regimes exist due to the presence of diffusion; moreover the second and the third significantly result from the departure of impurity particles from the backbone into the teeth. The next four regimes are caused by "interaction" of advection and the particles departure into the teeth. Three additional regimes (two kinds of slow advection and slow classical diffusion) arise on the comb structure with finite teeth compared with the structure of infinite teeth.

The impurity transport in the presence of diffusion only was studied in Refs. [2,11], where the authors found typical transport regimes-classical diffusion and subdiffusion for the comb structure with infinite teeth. Furthermore, following notation was also developed in Ref. [2]: a finite length of teeth results in an additional regime-slow classical diffusion. Various modifications of the comb structure were considered in [11]. It should be noted that transport regimes arising due to the presence of advection have not been studied as well as the fine structure of concentration tails in above-mentioned works.

Our analysis showed that the concentration tails have a cascade structure in all transport regimes except for classical diffusion. The results confirmed the regularity, which earlier was established in Refs. [8-10]: with increasing distances such a transport regime occurs what was realized in the main body of concentration at an earlier time interval. Thus the change in transport regimes occurs in both time and space.

Some characteristics of advection seem to be unexpected at times $t_{u} \ll t \ll t_{1}$. In this time interval, the number of particles located in the teeth is still relatively small. However the influence of the particles departure into the teeth determines to considerable extent the spatial width of the concentration distribution peak. Also this phenomenon results in a power-law decrease of the concentration distribution in the left wing. A faster decrease than Gaussian occurs, namely,
$G(x, t) \sim \exp \left[-t^{2} / 4 t_{1}(t-x / u)\right]$ in the right wing.
It should be noted that many authors defined anomalous diffusion as diffusion with a nonlinear growth of the meansquare displacement [14-18]. That definition is not full. For example, quasidiffusion is an anomalous transport regime because the total number of active particles is not conserved, although the variance of the displacement depends on time as $\sigma \propto t^{1 / 2}$ in this regime just as in classical diffusion.
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